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ABSTRACT
BACKGROUND: Effective postpartum contraception is crucial for maternal health and birth spacing. Postnatal care (PNC) visits represent a pivotal opportunity to encourage its use. Despite this, postpartum contraceptive uptake remains low in Ethiopia. Thus, this systematic review and meta-analysis seeks to evaluate the impact of postnatal visits on the utilization of postpartum contraception in Ethiopia.

METHODS: A systematic review and meta-analysis of published studies were conducted. Articles were systematically searched across multiple databases, including PubMed, HINARI, Science Direct, Cochrane Library, ETH Library, and Google Scholar. Data were analyzed using STATA 14 software. Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots and Egger's test. A random-effects model was employed to estimate the pooled prevalence of postpartum contraceptive use in Ethiopia.

RESULTS: The findings of the present systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that postnatal care visits significantly increase the utilization of postpartum contraception [pooled effect size 2.92 (95% CI, 2.21, 3.881)]. Postnatal care can provide critical information and support to women during the postpartum period, including information about family planning and contraceptive options.

CONCLUSION: Postpartum contraception is pivotal for maternal and child health. Postnatal care visits represent a crucial opportunity to promote its uptake. Healthcare providers can use these visits to educate women about contraceptive methods, discuss their advantages and potential risks, and help them choose the most suitable option for their needs.

PROSPERO Registration: CRD42020156574.
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INTRODUCTION

Postnatal care (PNC) encompasses essential healthcare provided to a woman and her newborn immediately after childbirth, extending up to six weeks (42 days) thereafter. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends a series of PNC visits: within the first 24 hours, at 48-72 hours, between days 7-14, and at 6 weeks postpartum. Despite these guidelines, global PNC coverage stands at 59%, with a lower rate of 45% in sub-Saharan Africa. In Ethiopia, only 34% of women received PNC within the first 2 days after delivery according to the 2019 Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS).

Postpartum contraceptive use is critical for maternal and child health, enabling appropriate birth spacing, and reducing maternal and infant mortality. The Ethiopian Ministry of Health and WHO recommend a minimum birth interval of 2 years to optimize maternal and child health outcomes. Integration of family planning (FP) counseling during antenatal, immediate postpartum, and PNC services is crucial in achieving these goals.

Shorter birth intervals are associated with higher risks of adverse maternal and infant health outcomes, including premature delivery and infant mortality. Postpartum family planning can significantly reduce these risks, with studies indicating potential reductions of 30% in maternal mortality and 10% in infant mortality. Globally, over 90% of women desire to delay pregnancy for at least two years before giving birth. Also, more than 60% of women in low- and middle-income countries have unmet needs for family planning services. In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), 20% of women give birth within two years after giving birth, and half of women have unmet needs for family planning services. Thus, increasing access to effective postpartum contraception is vital to helping women achieve their reproductive intentions.

Despite global efforts, postpartum contraceptive use in Ethiopia remains low, with substantial unmet needs. PNC visits present critical opportunities for counseling and provision of contraceptive methods. However, the effectiveness of PNC in promoting postpartum contraceptive use requires further exploration. Therefore, this systematic review and meta-analysis aims to analyze and synthesize existing evidence on the impact of PNC services on postpartum family planning uptake in Ethiopia. This synthesis will inform policymakers and stakeholders involved in enhancing family planning services in Ethiopia.

METHOD AND MATERIALS

Study Design and Protocol Registration: A systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted to quantify the pooled effect of PNC on postpartum contraceptive use. To report this systematic review and meta-analysis, an updated Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) 2020 statement was adapted. The protocol has been registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews, the University of York Center for Reviews and Dissemination. Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?Registration ID number =CRD42020156574.

Search Strategies: A comprehensive search was conducted to identify relevant studies for this systematic review and meta-analysis. The following databases were used: PubMed, HINARI, ScienceDirect, Cochrane Library, ETH Library, and Google Scholar. The search strategy combined keywords and MeSH terms related to postnatal care, postpartum contraceptive use, and Ethiopia. Specific search terms included “postnatal care,” “postpartum contraception,” “family planning,” “maternal health,” “Ethiopia,” and their variations. Search strings were tailored for each database to ensure coverage of both indexed terms and free-text terms. Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to combine search terms effectively. In the article search, the following keywords were used: “Postpartum family planning” OR “Postpartum contraceptive” AND Prevalence.
OR utilization OR use AND determinants OR “Factors associated” OR predictors OR postnatal OR “postnatal care.”

This systematic review and meta-analysis employed the PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcomes) framework to identify eligible studies. The study population (P) consisted of reproductive-age women (15-49 years) within their first 12 months postpartum; the intervention (I) was PNC follow-up; the comparison (C) group included women who did not receive PNC follow-up; and the outcomes (O) focused on the utilization of postpartum contraceptives within 12 months after delivery.

Criteria for Eligibility

Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria for this review encompassed studies published in English that investigated the association between postnatal care visits and postpartum contraceptive use. Only studies conducted in Ethiopia were considered. Additionally, the review included studies published within the timeframe of January 30, 2013, to January 30, 2024.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded if they did not address the relationship between postnatal care and postpartum contraceptive use, were not conducted in Ethiopia, were not in English, or lacked accessible full texts. Additionally, studies that did not report PNC visits or their outcomes were excluded. Furthermore, review articles, conference proceedings, protocols, and grey literature were also excluded.

Study Selection

All articles identified in the search were imported into EndNote X7, where duplicate studies were removed. The initial screening of studies for inclusion was based on titles and abstracts. Full-text reviews were then conducted by two independent reviewers (TTY and AK). Potentially eligible articles were identified through this process. Any disagreements between the reviewers (TTY and AK) were resolved through discussion and, if necessary, with the involvement of an additional reviewer (NT). The overall selection process was summarized using the PRISMA flow diagram.

Quality Assessment of the Studies

Three reviewers (TTY, NT, and MM) independently assessed the quality of the included studies. A modified version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scales was used to evaluate the quality of the studies. The studies were divided into three categories: (0–4) low quality, (5–7) medium quality, and (8–10) high quality.

Data Extraction

All data were extracted by two independent reviewers (TTY and MM) using the JBI data extraction tool. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion, with a third reviewer (AK) involved as needed. For each included article, the following information was extracted: author(s), publication year, study area and region, study setting, study design, sample size, and adjusted odds ratio with confidence interval. The extracted data were first entered into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and then exported to STATA 14 software for analysis.

Data Analysis, Heterogeneity, and Publication Bias

Data analysis was conducted using STATA 14 software. Descriptive statistics summarized the characteristics of included studies. The pooled effect size was calculated using a random-effects model to accommodate study variability. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I² statistic and Cochran’s Q test: I² values below 25% indicated low, 25–50% moderate, 51–75% substantial, and above 75% considerable heterogeneity, prompting subgroup and sensitivity analyses. A significance level of p < 0.05 indicated heterogeneity.

Publication bias was evaluated through funnel plots, Egger’s weighted regression, and Begg’s rank correlation tests. Forest plots presented odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for pooled effect sizes. Given heterogeneity, a random-effects model estimated pooled effects. Subgroup analyses explored variability sources based on region, publication year, study setting, and sample size. If publication bias was detected, the trim-and-fill method adjusted pooled estimates accordingly.
RESULT

STUDY SELECTION

A total of 1,208 articles were searched through electronic databases and grey literature. From these, 144 articles were excluded due to duplications, while the remaining 1,064 articles were reserved for further screening. Of these remaining articles, 776 and 221 were excluded by their titles and abstracts, respectively. A total of 67 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility criteria. Finally, 10 articles with appropriate quality were included in the final systematic review and meta-analysis. Furthermore, to summarise the selection procedure, the PRISMA flow diagram was used. (Fig 1)
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Figure 1. Description of schematic presentation of the PRISMA flow diagram to select and include studies, 2024.
CHARACTERISTICS OF INCLUDED STUDIES
As described in Table 1, these, 10 original articles were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis. The selected articles were published from 2016 to 2022. All included studies are cross-sectional in nature. In this study, 6157 postpartum women were involved. The sample size of the included studies ranged from 342\(^{18}\) to 1109\(^{17}\). Out of 10 studies, six studies were conducted both in urban and rural settings\(^{16, 23-27}\), three in urban\(^{28-30}\), and one in rural\(^{17}\) settings. The mean age of postpartum women ranged from 25.4\(^{23}\) to 29.82 years\(^{29}\). The response rate of the included studies ranges from 95.6\(^{25}\) to 100% response rate\(^{17, 28}\). Finally, regarding the quality score of the included studies, 7 of the 10 studies had high quality (8-10 points), and the remaining 3 studies had medium quality (5-7 points). Further descriptions and characteristics of the studies are included in Table 1.

Table 1: Descriptive summary of 10 studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of postnatal care on postpartum family planning use among women of the reproductive age group in Ethiopia, 2024.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Publication Year</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Mean age of women’s (%)</th>
<th>Response Rate (%)</th>
<th>AOR ES (95% CI)</th>
<th>Quality score (10pt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abraha, et al.</td>
<td>2018 Tigray</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.00 (1.33, 3.01)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abraha T, et al.</td>
<td>2017 Tigray</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>2.36 (1.15, 4.86)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asires, et al.</td>
<td>2017 Addis Ababa</td>
<td>IBCS</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>5.90 (1.70, 20.53)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dona et al.</td>
<td>2018 SNNP</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>1.90 (1.23, 2.94)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getachew, et al.</td>
<td>2016 SNNP</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>2.84 (1.44, 5.59)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaleta, et al.</td>
<td>2019 Benishangul</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>7.80 (3.96, 15.36)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seifu, et al.</td>
<td>2020 Oromia</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3, 5.9)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teka et al.</td>
<td>2018 Oromia</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>4.34 (2.37, 7.94)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yimam, et al.</td>
<td>2021 Afar</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>29.82</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>2.54 (1.37, 4.68)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mihretie, et al.</td>
<td>2022 Amhara</td>
<td>CBCS</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.96 (1.57, 5.57)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SNNP, Southern Nation Nationalities, and People regional state. AOR, Adjusted Odds Ratio. CBCS, Community based cross-sectional. IBCS, Institution based cross-sectional.

PUBLICATION BIAS AND HETEROGENEITY
Publication bias was presented on traditional funnel plots. The shape of the traditional funnel plots indicates a symmetrical distribution (Figure 2). Moreover, to ascertain the funnel plot, Begg’s and Egger’s tests were conducted. Begg’s and Egger’s test results revealed the absence of statistically significant publication bias (p = 0.28 and p = 0.060, respectively). Statistical heterogeneity was checked by using the Cochran’s Q test and the I\(^2\) test and through visual examination of the forest plot (overlap of confidence intervals). In this analysis, moderate heterogeneity was observed across the included studies and detected by the Cochran’s Q test (Q test p<0.001) and I\(^2\) statistics (I\(^2\) = 50.5\%) (Figure 3). Because of this, a random effects model was used to estimate the effect of PNC follow-up on postpartum contraceptive use. By considering publication year, study setting, and sample size, sub-group analysis was performed to identify the possible source of heterogeneity, but none of these variables were found to be statistically significant (Table 2).
Figure 2: Funnel plot of the 10 included studies of the effect of PNC on utilization of postpartum contraceptives in Ethiopia, 2024.

Table 2: Subgroup analysis for the effect of postnatal care on postpartum family planning use among women of the reproductive age (15-49 years) group in their first 12 months after delivery in Ethiopia, 2024 (n = 10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>I²</th>
<th>ES (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By Regions</td>
<td>Tigray</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>2.08 (1.46, 2.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oromia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>3.46 (2.03, 5.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SNNP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,104</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>2.14 (1.48, 3.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,397</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
<td>4.07 (2.31, 7.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Sample size*</td>
<td>≥ 615</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,446</td>
<td>80.4%</td>
<td>3.28 (1.68, 6.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 615</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,711</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>2.92 (2.23, 3.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By study setting</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3,471</td>
<td>64.2%</td>
<td>3.15 (2.05, 4.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,577</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>2.98 (1.97, 4.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.00 (1.33, 3.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By publication year</td>
<td>&lt;2020</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5,059</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>3.12 (2.09, 4.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥2020</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,098</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>2.67 (1.83, 3.91)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Sample size for subgroup analysis categorized by taking the mean sample size

The vertical line indicates the effect size whereas the diagonal line indicates the precision of individual studies with a 95% confidence interval.

META-ANALYSIS

The effect of postnatal care follow-up on the utilization of postpartum modern contraceptives

As shown in the forest plot, the results of the 10 included studies indicated that the pooled effect size of postpartum modern contraceptive utilization among those mothers who had postnatal care visits was 2.92 (95% CI, 2.21, 3.88) compared to those mothers without having PNC visits in the random effects model (Figure 3). The findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that having postnatal care visits increases the utilization of postpartum modern contraceptives.
SUBGROUP ANALYSIS
To decrease sizeable heterogeneity, subgroup analysis was performed based on the regions where the studies were conducted, the sample size of the studies, the study setting, and the year of publication. Based on subgroup analysis, the effect of postnatal care follow-up on utilization in the Tigray region was 2.08, 95% CI (1.46, 2.97), Southern Nation and Nationalities was 2.14, 95% CI (1.48, 3.08), and Oromia was 3.46, 95% CI (2.03, 5.89) (Table 2). With regard to sample size, the larger the sample size the more precise the effect size.

DISCUSSION
The findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that PNC follow-up has a significant effect on the utilization of postpartum contraceptives. The current meta-analysis reported that having postnatal care services was positively associated [2.92 (95% CI, 2.21, 3.88)] with the use of contraceptives during the postpartum period. This suggests that women who receive postnatal care are almost three times more likely to use contraceptives after giving birth compared to those who do not receive postnatal care.

This finding is consistent with USAID findings from 17 countries, a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted in low- and middle-income countries, and low-income countries in SSA31-33. Those findings suggest that the utilization of contraceptives among postpartum women will increase substantially if more women use postnatal care. Similarly, a systematic review in sub-Saharan Africa found that family planning counseling during antenatal care, delivery, and postnatal care significantly increased the uptake of modern contraceptives in the postpartum period34. The continuity of care during the postnatal period can foster trust in the healthcare system and providers, making women more likely to accept contraceptive services9.

The possible explanation for this finding is that women might get the opportunity for contraceptive counseling and the benefits of birth spacing from health professionals during PNC follow-up. In addition, PNC provides an important opportunity for healthcare providers to deliver appropriate contraceptive methods. Furthermore, the WHO emphasizes the importance of incorporating family planning counseling into postnatal care services.
to encourage healthy birth spacing and prevent unintended pregnancies. The techniques for testing the publication bias of the included studies were considered. It was examined by performing Egger’s correlation and Begg’s regression intercept tests at a 5% significant level. The results of Begg’s and Egger’s tests indicated that there was no statistically significant publication bias across the included studies, as evidenced by p = 0.28 and p = 0.06 in Begg’s and Egger’s tests, respectively. In this meta-analysis, to identify the possible sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analysis was performed based on the regions and sample size. However, the result of the subgroup analysis indicated that the source of moderate heterogeneity was not because of the study regions or sample size.

CONCLUSIONS
Ultimately, the results of this systematic review and meta-analysis showed that women who receive postnatal care were more likely to use contraceptives after giving birth compared to those who do not receive postnatal care. Integrating family planning counselling and services into all maternal and child health (MCH) units could be an effective strategy to increase postpartum contraceptive use. Therefore, maximizing postnatal care visits helps to improve the utilization of postpartum contraceptives, as well as the outcome of maternal and child health. Thus, enhancing maternal continuum of care is an effective strategy to maximize both postnatal care and postpartum contraceptive utilization.
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