

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH ECLAMPSIA AMONG WOMEN WHO GAVE BIRTH AT ADAMA HOSPITAL MEDICAL COLLEGE, ETHIOPIA: A CASE CONTROL STUDY

Dereje Tegene¹, Ephrem Mannekulih², Sileshi Garoma²

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Eclampsia is the occurrence of convulsions and/or unexplained coma in women with signs and symptoms of preeclampsia. It is one of the leading obstetric complications associated with increased maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity. Identification of predictive factors associated with eclampsia helps caregivers with the early identification and prioritization of care for high-risk pregnant women, as well as the development of preventive strategies.

OBJECTIVE: The study aimed to identify factors associated with eclampsia among women who gave birth at Adama Hospital Medical College.

METHODS: A hospital-based case-control study was conducted from January 2019 to December 2021, and data were collected from a total of 467 patients (94 cases and 373 controls). Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the association of predictive variables with the development of eclampsia. The association was estimated using an odds ratio with a 95% confidence interval at a P-value <0.05.

RESULTS: During the study period, 94 women with eclampsia were compared with 373 non-eclamptic controls. The likelihood of developing eclampsia was 5.3 times higher among rural residents compared to urban dwellers (AOR = 5.30; 95% CI: 2.98–9.41), 3.7 times higher in primiparous women compared to multiparous (AOR = 3.86; 95% CI: 2.06–7.24), 6.3 times higher in women with no antenatal care (ANC) than those having ANC (AOR = 6.30; 95% CI: 2.75–14.46), 2.3 times higher in anemic pregnant women, and 4.7 times higher in those with a urinary tract infection (UTI) (AOR = 4.70; 95% CI: 2.41–9.16).

CONCLUSION: In this study, rural residence, primiparity, lack of antenatal care follow-up, maternal anemia, and urinary tract infections were all independently associated with increased odds of developing eclampsia. These findings highlight the need to strengthen antenatal care services, particularly in rural areas, and to prioritize the early detection and management of anemia and infections during pregnancy. Targeted interventions addressing these modifiable risk factors could play a crucial role in reducing the burden of eclampsia and improving maternal health outcomes.

KEYWORDS: Adama, case-control, determinants, eclampsia, Ethiopia

(The Ethiopian Journal of Reproductive Health; 2025; 17; 41-50)

¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Adama Hospital Medical College, Adama, Ethiopia

² Department of Public Health, Adama Hospital Medical College, Adama, Ethiopia

INTRODUCTION

Eclampsia is an obstetric emergency characterized by the occurrence of convulsions and/or unexplained coma in patients with preeclampsia^{1,2}. The clinical manifestation of eclampsia includes the development of hypertension and proteinuria, followed by premonitory signs and symptoms that lead to the development of convulsion and/or coma³. Worldwide, maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity associated with eclampsia remain high⁴. Globally, each year an estimated 62,000 to 77,000 women die because of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP)⁵. Of the maternal deaths caused by HDP, eclampsia is responsible for about 49% of the cases².

Prevention of eclampsia requires knowledge of its etiology, pathophysiology, and ways to determine which women are at high risk. Socio-demographic factors associated with increased risk of eclampsia include being of Black race⁶, rural residence⁷, younger maternal age^{4,6,8,9}, older maternal age (≥ 35 years)^{10,11}, maternal occupation as a housewife^{12,13}, and lack of formal education^{4,8}. Obesity before pregnancy¹⁴ and conception during the spring and summer months¹⁵ were also significantly associated with an increased risk of eclampsia.

Obstetric factors that increase the risk of eclampsia include primigravida^{6,8,10,16,17}, previous history of abortion^{7,18}, absence of ANC follow-up^{6,7,18-20}, unplanned pregnancy⁹, longer birth interval¹⁰, multiple pregnancies^{16,21,22}, and previous history of preeclampsia¹⁶. Chronic hypertension^{1,13}, urinary tract infection during pregnancy^{6,23}, anemia during pregnancy²³⁻²⁵, diabetes^{6,7}, and cardiac or renal diseases^{23,25,26} are maternal medical disorders that increase the risk of eclampsia.

Since there are no reliable biological tests to predict which women will develop eclampsia²⁷, identifying the most important risk factors is crucial for clinical purposes. Most studies conducted to identify determinants of eclampsia were undertaken by combining eclampsia with preeclampsia to achieve a reasonable sample size. This results in

an underrepresentation of eclamptic cases because of the relative rarity of the condition. Much of the information was extrapolated from cases of preeclampsia. Reports dealing exclusively with eclampsia are rare, and those available involve only a few cases. Thus, the majority of evidence dealing with determinants of eclampsia is not specific to eclampsia⁶. This case-control study aimed to determine factors associated with eclampsia at a teaching hospital in Eastern Ethiopia.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study period, design, and setting

A hospital-based unmatched case-control study design was employed to identify factors associated with eclampsia among women who gave birth at Adama Hospital Medical College (AHMC) from January 2019 to December 2021. AHMC is located in Adama town, 100 km southeast of Addis Ababa, the capital of Ethiopia. The total number of deliveries was estimated between 800 and 900 deliveries per month.

Study participants

The source population for cases and controls included all women who gave birth at AHMC. The study population consisted of all women who gave birth at AHMC during the study period. Those women whose discharge diagnoses were eclampsia and were managed at AHMC during the study period were taken as cases. Controls were women whose discharge diagnoses were not eclampsia and who gave birth at AHMC during the study period.

Exclusion criteria

Cases: All mothers whose convulsions or coma were explained by other causes were excluded. Mothers with eclampsia referred from other hospitals or health centers after delivery and those with incomplete or lost medical records were also excluded.

Controls: All women who were referred to AHMC after delivery for other reasons were excluded. In

addition, those women whose medical records were incomplete or lost were also excluded.

Sample size determination and sampling procedure

The sample size for the unmatched case-control study design was calculated using Epi Info version 7.2 statistical software, considering the following assumptions: 80% power of the study, 95% confidence level, and a 4:1 control-to-case ratio. To determine the required sample size, obesity was used as a risk factor for eclampsia from the findings of a previous study, which revealed that the percentage of obesity among controls was 10%, and the percentage of cases exposed to obesity was 22%⁶. Accordingly, the estimated sample size was 467 women—94 cases of eclampsia and 373 non-eclamptic women.

The sampling frame was developed based on the client's medical registration numbers recorded in the logbooks over three years. All medical records of women with a discharge diagnosis of eclampsia managed at AHMC during the study period were included consecutively. Controls were selected using a systematic random sampling method. If a selected patient's medical record failed to meet the inclusion criteria, the next eligible woman's record was chosen as a control. During the study period, a total of 120 women had a discharge diagnosis of eclampsia registered in the logbook. Of these, only 100 charts were eligible for the study—12 cases were excluded because of incomplete or lost medical records, 6 cases gave birth at other health facilities, and 2 had other diagnoses (epilepsy and meningitis). Over the three-year period, there were 29,400 total deliveries, from which 373 charts of non-eclamptic women who fulfilled the criteria were selected. Medical records of 94 women with eclampsia were compared with 373 non-eclamptic controls.

Data collection tools, procedures, and quality control

Data were collected from logbooks and maternal medical records recorded over three years. The

data collection tool, which contained all socio-demographic, obstetric, and maternal medical variables, was designed after reviewing relevant literature. Data were collected by four medical interns after receiving one day of training. Supervision was conducted during data collection.

Data processing and analysis

Data were entered into Epi Info version 7.2, and analysis was done using SPSS version 20. Socio-demographic, obstetric, and maternal medical characteristics of cases and controls were described using descriptive statistics, such as frequency and cross-tabulation. A binary logistic regression model was used to identify factors associated with eclampsia, and those variables with a P-value <0.25 were selected for further multivariable logistic regression analysis. The statistical significance of independent variables on eclampsia was declared at a P-value <0.05. The strength of association was estimated using an odds ratio with a 95% confidence interval.

Ethical approval

An ethical approval letter was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of AHMC, and the project protocol number was AHMC/MPH/19/11/2013. The names of the study participants were not used on the data collection tool, and the data were coded so that participants could not be identified by any means.

RESULTS

1.1. Socio-demographic characteristics of cases and controls

Analysis of the study revealed that; about 34 (36.2%) of women in the cases and 145 (38.9%) of women among controls were in the age range of 25 -29 years. The distribution of cases and controls across the four seasons of the year was relatively the same (Table 1).

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of women who gave birth at AHMC from January 2019 to December 2021

Characteristics	Cases Number (%)	Controls Number (%)	Total Number (%)
Maternal Age in Years			
≤ 19	10(10.6)	13(3.5)	23(4.9)
20-24	27(28.7)	124(33.2)	151(32.3)
25-29	34(36.2)	145(38.9)	179(38.3)
30 – 34	13(13.8)	67(18.0)	80(17.1)
≥ 35	10(10.6)	24(6.4)	34(7.3)
Place of Residence			
Urban	37(39.4)	286(76.7)	323(69.2)
Rural	57(60.6)	87(23.3)	144(30.8)
Delivery Season			
Autmn (Tseday)	26(27.7)	87(23.3)	113(24.2)
Winter (Bega)	28(29.8)	107(28.7)	135(28.9)
Spring (Belg)	22(23.4)	76(20.4)	94(20.1)
Summer (Kiremt)	22(23.4)	103(27.6)	125(26.8)

1.2. Obstetrics characteristics of cases and controls

According to the finding of this study; majority (77.4%) of eclamptic cases were diagnosed at preterm (gestational age less than 37 weeks), of which 23.1% of them were diagnosed before 34 weeks of gestation. about 55(58.5%) of eclamptic cases occurs during the antepartum period, 20(21.3%) of convulsion occurs intrapartum after the onset of labor, and 19(20.2%) of eclampsia occurs after delivery (postpartum) (Table 2).

Table 2: Obstetrics characteristics of women who gave birth at AHMC from January 2019 to December 2021

Characteristics	Cases Number (%)	Controls Number (%)	Total Number (%)
Parity			
Primigravida	42(44.7)	113(30.3)	155(33.2)
Multigravida	52(55.3)	260(69.7)	312(66.8)
Previous History of Abortion			
Yes	12(12.8)	89(23.9)	101(21.6)
No	82 (87.2)	284(76.1)	366(78.4)
Previous History of Stillbirth			
Yes	7(7.4)	21(5.6)	28(6.0)
No	87(92.6)	352(94.4)	439(94.0)
Planned pregnancy			
Yes	60(63.8)	270(72.4)	330(70.7)
No	34(36.2)	103(27.6)	137(29.3)
ANC Follow up			
Yes	68(72.3)	343(92.0)	411(88.0)
No	26(27.7)	30(8.0)	56(12.0)
Types of Gestation			
Singleton	84(89.4)	362(97.1)	446(95.5)
Twins	10(10.6)	11(2.9)	21(4.5)
Gestational age at Delivery			
Early Preterm (< 34 weeks)	20(21.3)	8(2.1)	28(6.0)
Late Preterm (34-36.6wks)	51(54.3)	61(16.4)	112(24.0)
Term (37 -41.6wks)	22(23.4)	285(76.4)	307(65.7)
Post-term (≥ 42wks)	1(1.1)	19(5.1)	20(4.3)
Maternal Blood Group			
Group “O”	40(42.6)	121(32.4)	161(34.5)
Group “A”	21(22.3)	130(34.9)	151(27.0)
Group “B”	26(27.7)	100(26.8)	126(23.1)
Group “AB”	7(7.4)	22(5.9)	29(6.2)
Previous History of PIH			
Yes	6(6.4)	14(3.8)	20(4.3)
No	88(93.6)	359(96.2)	447(95.7)
Previous History of Eclampsia			
Yes	2(2.1)	1(0.3)	3(0.6)
No	92(97.9)	372(99.7)	464(99.4)
Family History of PIH			
Yes	4(4.3)	13(3.5)	17(3.6)
No	90(95.7)	360(96.5)	450(96.4)
Gender of the Newborn			
Male	52(55.3)	187(50.1)	239(51.2)
Female	42(44.7)	186(49.9)	228(48.8)

Medical characteristics of cases and controls

The study found that; five (5.3%) of the women in the cases and 14(3.8%) of the women among controls had chronic hypertension. Twenty-nine (30.9%) of cases and 43(11.5%) of controls were diagnosed to have urinary tract infections during pregnancy (Table 3).

Table 3: Maternal Medical characteristics of women who gave birth at AHMC from January 2019 to December 2021

Characteristics	Cases Number (%)	Controls Number (%)	Total Number (%)
Chronic Hypertension			
Yes	5(5.3)	14(3.8)	19(4.1)
No	89(94.7)	359(96.2)	448(95.9)
History of Cardiac or Renal disease			
Yes	3(3.2)	7(1.9)	10(2.1)
No	91(96.8)	366(98.1)	457(97.9)
Diabetes Mellitus			
Yes	2(2.1)	5(1.3)	7(1.5)
No	92(97.9)	368(98.7)	460(98.5)
Anemia During Pregnancy			
Yes	36(38.3)	77(20.6)	113(24.2)
No	58(61.7)	296(79.4)	354(75.8)
Urinary Tract Infection			
Yes	29(30.9)	43(11.5)	72(15.4)
No	65(69.1)	330(88.5)	395(84.6)
Edema			
Yes	60(63.8)	141(37.8)	201(43.0)
No	34(36.2)	232(62.2)	266(57.0)
HIV Sero-status			
Reactive	4(4.3)	13(3.5)	17(3.6)
Non-Reactive	83(88.3)	328(87.9)	411(88.0)
Unknown	7(7.4)	32(8.6)	39(8.4)

1.3. Factors associated with Eclampsia

Data analysis using a bivariate logistic regression model found that; maternal age, place of residency, gravidity, previous history of abortion, planned pregnancy, ANC follow-up, types of gestation, maternal blood group, anemia during pregnancy, and urinary tract infection had a association with eclampsia at a P-value < 0.25. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the adjusted effects of selected predictor variables on eclampsia. Accordingly, place of residence, gravidity,

ANC follow-up, anemia during pregnancy, and urinary tract infection were variables that had a significant association with eclampsia (P- value <0.05).

The study found that; being a rural resident was associated with 5.3-fold increased odds of having eclampsia. (AOR = 5.30; 95% CI: 2.98, 9.41). The odds of having eclampsia were 3.86 fold higher among primigravid women than multigravida (AOR = 3.86; 95% CI: 2.06, 7.24). As per the finding of the study, those women with no ANC follow-up had

6.3-fold higher odds of having eclampsia compared to those women with at least one ANC follow-up (AOR = 6.30; 95% CI: 2.75, 14.46). The risk of eclampsia among anemic pregnant women was, 2.29 fold higher than among non-anemic pregnant women (AOR = 2.29; 95% CI: 1.25, 4.18). The odds of developing eclampsia were also increased by 4.7 fold in a pregnant woman diagnosed to have a urinary tract infection (AOR = 4.70; 95% CI: 2.41, 9.16) (Table 4)

Table 4: Factors associated with Eclampsia among women who gave birth at AHMC from January 2019 to December 2021

Characteristics	Cases Number (%)	Controls Number (%)	COR[95%CI]	AOR[95%CI]
Place of Residence				
Urban	37(39.4)	286(76.7)	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)
Rural	57(60.6)	87(23.3)	5.06[3.14, 8.17]*	5.30[2.98, 9.41]**
Gravidity				
Primigravid	42(44.7)	113(30.3)	1.86[1.17, 2.95]*	3.86[2.06, 7.24]**
Multiparous	52(55.3)	260(69.7)	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)
ANC Follow up				
Yes	68(72.3)	343(92.0)	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)
No	26(27.7)	30(8.0)	4.37[2.43, 7.86]*	6.30[2.75, 14.46]**
Anemia During Pregnancy				
Yes	36(38.3)	77(20.6)	2.39[1.47, 3.88]*	2.29[1.25, 4.18]**
No	58(61.7)	296(79.4)	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)
Urinary Tract Infection				
Yes	29(30.9)	43(11.5)	3.42[1.99, 5.88]*	4.70[2.41, 9.16]**
No	65(69.1)	330(88.5)	1.00 (Ref.)	1.00 (Ref.)

* P-value < 0.25; ** P-value < 0.05

DISCUSSION

The results of this study found that being a woman of rural residence, primigravidity, having no ANC follow-up, having anemia during pregnancy, and having a urinary tract infection during pregnancy were independent determinants of eclampsia. The study found that being a woman of rural residence was associated with a fivefold increased risk of developing eclampsia compared to women of urban residence. This finding is in line with studies done in India⁷ and Nigeria²⁸. However, the finding of our study does not agree with the study done in Washington, which did not find an association

between women’s place of residence and the risk of eclampsia¹⁰. The increased risk of developing eclampsia among rural women could be related to low coverage of healthcare services, poor access to health information, limited infrastructure, and low health-seeking behavior among rural women. There is a marked urban–rural variation in accessing ANC follow-up in LMICs, including Ethiopia²⁹. All these factors contribute to an increased risk of developing eclampsia due to delays in recognizing premonitory signs and symptoms before the onset of eclampsia³⁰. Primigravidity was one of the factors strongly associated with eclampsia in our study; the odds of having eclampsia were increased in primigravid

women compared to multigravida ones. Similar findings were reported by multicountry surveys done by WHO⁸ and systematic reviews in sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries¹⁹. The finding of this study is also in line with studies conducted in Houston, Texas³¹, Japan¹⁷, Egypt⁴, and Addis Ababa, Ethiopia¹⁶. The association between primigravidity and eclampsia can be described as follows: a primigravid woman has a higher risk of immunologic intolerance with the fetus, resulting in defective trophoblast invasion of the spiral arteries, which in turn increases the risk of developing preeclampsia/eclampsia³².

Regular antenatal care follow-up improves the health of the mother and newborn through risk assessment, health promotion, and disease prevention. Our study revealed that the odds of having eclampsia were increased sixfold in women with no ANC follow-up in the current pregnancy compared to those with at least one ANC visit. This finding agrees with studies done in Houston, Texas⁶, India⁷, and Morocco²⁰. When a woman has no ANC follow-up, routine checkups for blood pressure and/or proteinuria are absent or reduced, which might lead to delays in the diagnosis of preeclampsia and consequently increase the risk of eclampsia.

In this study, anemic pregnant women had more than a twofold higher risk of eclampsia compared with non-anemic pregnant women. Similar findings were reported by studies conducted by WHO²³, in Canada²⁵, and in Sudan²⁴. The increased risk of eclampsia among anemic pregnant women can be explained by the deficiency of micronutrients and antioxidants causing anemia, which creates a condition that increases the risk of preeclampsia/eclampsia²³. This indicates that screening and treating anemia during ANC visits can reduce the risk of developing eclampsia.

The presence of urinary tract infection or pyelonephritis during pregnancy was also associated with an increased risk of developing eclampsia. Similar findings were reported by studies conducted by WHO²³ and in the United States of America⁶.

The exact reason for this association is not known, but several hypotheses involving cardiovascular pathways and inflammatory responses have been mentioned²³. The finding of this study supports the need for proper screening and treatment of UTI during pregnancy to reduce the risk of developing obstetric complications such as eclampsia.

One of the strengths of this study is that it investigated predictors of eclampsia by comparing a reasonable number of eclamptic women with non-eclamptic controls, allowing for the identification of determinants of eclampsia independent of preeclampsia. The major limitation of the study is that data were retrieved retrospectively from patients' medical records, and information on some important sociodemographic, socioeconomic, and obstetric factors was unavailable or incomplete.

CONCLUSION

This study identified that rural residence, primiparity, lack of antenatal care follow-up, maternal anemia, and urinary tract infections were all independently associated with increased odds of developing eclampsia. These findings highlight the need to strengthen antenatal care services, particularly in rural areas, and to prioritize the early detection and management of anemia and infections during pregnancy. Targeted interventions addressing these modifiable risk factors could play a crucial role in reducing the burden of eclampsia and improving maternal health outcomes.

Corresponding author:

Dereje Tegene (MD, MPH, ObGyn)

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,

Adama Hospital Medical College,

Adama, Ethiopia

Cell Phone No.: +251913909665

Email: fekadutd79@gmail.com

ORCID number = <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9700-2875>

REFERENCES

1. Abalos E, Cuesta C, Grosso AL, Chou D, Say L. Global and regional estimates of preeclampsia and eclampsia: a systematic review. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2013;170(1):1-7.
2. Gabbe SG, Niebyl JR, Simpson JL, Landon MB, Galan HL, Jauniaux ER, et al. *Obstetrics: normal and problem pregnancies* e-book: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2016.
3. Rana S, Karumanchi SA, Levine RJ, Venkatesha S, Rauh-Hain JA, Tamez H, et al. Sequential changes in antiangiogenic factors in early pregnancy and risk of developing preeclampsia. *Hypertension*. 2007;50(1):137-42.
4. Mahran A, Fares H, Elkhateeb R, Ibrahim M, Bahaa H, Sanad A, et al. Risk factors and outcome of patients with eclampsia at a tertiary hospital in Egypt. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2017;17(1):1-7.
5. Khan KS, Wojdyla D, Say L, Gülmezoglu AM, Van Look PF. WHO analysis of causes of maternal death: a systematic review. *The lancet*. 2006;367(9516):1066-74.
6. Abi-Said D, Annegers JF, Combs-Cantrell D, Frankowski RF, Willmore LJ. Case-control study of the risk factors for eclampsia. *American journal of epidemiology*. 1995;142(4):437-41.
7. Agrawal S, Walia GK, Staines-Urias E, Casas JP, Millett C. Prevalence of and risk factors for eclampsia in pregnant women in India. *Family Medicine and Community Health*. 2017;5(4):225-44.
8. Abalos E, Cuesta C, Carroli G, Qureshi Z, Widmer M, Vogel J, et al. Preeclampsia, eclampsia and adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes: a secondary analysis of the World Health Organization Multicountry Survey on Maternal and Newborn Health. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2014;121:14-24.
9. Bugalho A, Bacci A, Bergström S. Risk factors in Mozambican women with eclampsia: a case-referent study. *African journal of reproductive health*. 2001;5(2):30-5.
10. Coghill AE, Hansen S, Littman AJ. Risk factors for eclampsia: a population-based study in Washington State, 1987–2007. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2011;205(6):553. e1-. e7.
11. Endeshaw M, Abebe F, Worku S, Menber L, Assress M, Assefa M. Obesity in young age is a risk factor for preeclampsia: a facility based case-control study, northwest Ethiopia. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2016;16(1):1-11.
12. Guerrier G, Oluyide B, Keramarou M, Grais RF. Factors associated with severe preeclampsia and eclampsia in Jahun, Nigeria. *International journal of women's health*. 2013;5:509.
13. Logan GG, Njoroge PK, Nyabola LO, Mweu MM. Determinants of preeclampsia and eclampsia among women delivering in county hospitals in Nairobi, Kenya. *F1000Research*. 2020;9:192.
14. Chames MC, Livingston JC, Ivester TS, Barton JR, Sibai BM. Late postpartum eclampsia: a preventable disease? *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2002;186(6):1174-7.
15. Rudra CB, Williams MA. Monthly variation in preeclampsia prevalence: Washington State, 1987–2001. *The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine*. 2005;18(5):319-24.
16. Grum T, Seifu A, Abay M, Angsom T, Tsegay L. Determinants of pre-eclampsia/Eclampsia among women attending delivery Services in Selected Public Hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a case control study. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2017;17(1):1-7.
17. Morikawa M, Cho K, Yamada T, Yamada T, Sato S, Minakami H. Risk factors for eclampsia in Japan between 2005 and 2009. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 2012;117(1):66-8.
18. Trostad L, Magnus P, Stoltenberg C. Pre-eclampsia: Risk factors and causal models. *Best practice & research Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology*. 2011;25(3):329-42.
19. Meazaw MW, Chojenta C, Muluneh MD, Loxton D. Systematic and meta-analysis of factors associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia in sub-Saharan Africa. *PloS one*. 2020;15(8):e0237600.
20. Rebahi H, Still ME, Faouzi Y, El Adib AR. Risk factors for eclampsia in pregnant women with preeclampsia and positive neurosensory signs. *Turkish journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2018;15(4):227.
21. Alves E, Azevedo A, Rodrigues T, Santos AC, Barros H. Impact of risk factors on hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, in primiparae and multiparae. *Annals of human biology*. 2013;40(5):377-84.
22. Schneider S, Freerksen N, Maul H, Roehrig S, Fischer B, Hoeft B. Risk groups and maternal-neonatal complications of preeclampsia—current results from the national German Perinatal Quality Registry. 2011.
23. Bilano VL, Ota E, Ganchimeg T, Mori R, Souza JP. Risk factors of pre-eclampsia/eclampsia and its adverse outcomes in low-and middle-income countries: a WHO secondary analysis. *PloS one*. 2014;9(3):e91198.

24. Ali AA, Rayis DA, Abdallah TM, Elbashir MI, Adam I. Severe anaemia is associated with a higher risk for preeclampsia and poor perinatal outcomes in Kassala hospital, eastern Sudan. *BMC research notes*. 2011;4(1):1-5.
25. Liu S, Joseph K, Liston RM, Bartholomew S, Walker M, León JA, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and associated complications of eclampsia. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2011;118(5):987-94.
26. Fong A, Chau CT, Pan D, Ogunyemi DA. Clinical morbidities, trends, and demographics of eclampsia: a population-based study. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2013;209(3):229. e1-. e7.
27. Thangaratinam S, Langenveld J, Mol BW, Khan KS. Prediction and primary prevention of pre-eclampsia. *Best practice & research Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology*. 2011;25(4):419-33.
28. Ajah LO, Ozonu NC, Ezeonu PO, Lawani LO, Obuna JA, Onwe EO. The fetomaternal outcome of preeclampsia with severe features and eclampsia in Abakaliki, South-East Nigeria. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*. 2016;10(9):QC18.
29. Fenta SM, Ayenew GM, Getahun BE. Magnitude of antenatal care service uptake and associated factors among pregnant women: analysis of the 2016 Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey. *BMJ open*. 2021;11(4):e043904.
30. Gudu W. Prodromal symptoms, health care seeking in response to symptoms and associated factors in eclamptic patients. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2017;17(1):87.
31. Duley L, editor *The global impact of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia*. *Seminars in perinatology*; 2009: Elsevier.
32. Dekker GA, Sibai BM. Etiology and pathogenesis of preeclampsia: current concepts. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 1998;179(5):1359-75.